Partial Compilation of Variational Algorithms for Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum Machines

Pranav Gokhale1Yongshan Ding1Thomas Propson2Christopher Winkler1Nelson Yeung2Yunong Shi2David I. Schuster2Henry Hoffmann1Frederic T. Chong1

¹Department of Computer Science University of Chicago

> ²Department of Physics University of Chicago





MICRO-52, October 2019

Gokhale et al.

Outline

- Background: Quantum Compilation
- Variational Quantum Algorithms
- Partial Compilation
 - Strict
 - Flexible
- Results
- Conclusions / Future Work



Background

Optimized Compilation of Aggregated Instructions for Realistic Quantum Computers

Nelson Leung

Yunong Shi The University of Chicago yunong@uchicago.edu

Zane Rossi The University of Chicago zmr@uchicago.edu

Pranav Gokhale The University of Chicago The University of Chicago nelsonleung@uchicago.edu pranavgokhale@uchicago.edu Henry Hoffmann

The University of Chicago

hankhoffmann@cs.uchicago.edu

David I. Schuster The University of Chicago david.schuster@uchicago.edu

Frederic T. Chong The University of Chicago chong@cs.uchicago.edu

eb Ē Abstract

2019

ph quant- \sim 4 147 0 902. arXiv:

Recent developments in engineering and algorithms have made real-world applications in quantum computing possible in the near future. Existing quantum programming languages and compilers use a quantum assembly language composed of 1- and 2-qubit (quantum bit) gates. Quantum compiler frameworks translate this quantum assembly to electric signals (called control pulses) that implement the specified computation on specific physical devices. However, there is a mismatch between the operations defined by the 1- and 2-qubit logical ISA and their underlying physical implementation, so the current practice of directly translating logical instructions into control pulses results in inefficient, high-latency programs. To address this inefficiency, we propose a universal quantum compilation methodology that aggregates multiple logical operations into larger units that manipulate up to 10 qubits at a time. Our methodology then optimizes these aggregates by (1) finding commutative intermediate operations that result in more efficient schedules and (2) creating custom control pulses optimized for the aggregate (instead of individual 1- and 2-qubit operations). Compared to the standard gate-based compilation, the proposed approach realizes a deeper vertical integration of high-level quantum software and low-level, physical quantum hardware. We evaluate our approach on important near-term quantum applications on simulations of superconducting

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org. ASPLOS '19, April 13-17, 2019, Providence, RI, USA © 2019 Association for Computing Machinery. ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-6240-5/19/04...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/3297858.3304018

quantum architectures. Our proposed approach provides a mean speedup of 5×, with a maximum of 10×. Because latency directly affects the feasibility of quantum computation, our results not only improve performance but also have the potential to enable quantum computation sooner than otherwise possible.

ACM Reference Format:

Yunong Shi, Nelson Leung, Pranav Gokhale, Zane Rossi, David I. Schuster, Henry Hoffmann, and Frederic T. Chong, 2019, Optimized Compilation of Aggregated Instructions for Realistic Quantum Computers. In 2019 Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems (ASPLOS '19), April 13-17, 2019, Providence, RI, USA. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 14 pages. https: //doi.org/10.1145/3297858.3304018

1 Introduction

The past twenty years have seen the world of quantum computing moving closer to solving classically intractable problems [2, 9, 50]. With developments in Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) [45] devices like IBM's quantum machine with 50 qubits and Google's quantum machine with 72 qubits, we may soon be able to demonstrate computations not possible on classical supercomputers [2, 9]. Exciting classical-quantum hybrid algorithms tailored for NISQ machines, like Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA) [8] and Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VOE) [36, 44] will power up the first real-world quantum computing applications with scientific and commercial value.

Computation latency is a major challenge for near-term quantum computing. While all computing systems benefit from reduced latency, in a quantum system the output fidelity decays at least exponentially with latency [41]. Thus, in near-term quantum computers, reducing latency is not just a minor convenience-latency reduction actually enables new computations on near-term machines by ensuring that the computation finishes before the qubits decohere and produce a useless result. Thus latency reduction is critical

ASPLOS 2019 arXiv:1902.01474

- 5x improvement in quantum runtime
- Qubits decay exponentially with time
- How? Compilation to pulses

Background

```
module QFT(qbit x[]) {
    int i, j;
    double angle = 0.0;
    for (i=0; i<_n; i++){
        H(x[i]);
        for (j=i+1; j<_n; j++) {
            angle = angle_R[j];
            cRz(x[j], x[i], angle);
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

x q[2]; barrier q; h q[0]; cu1(pi/2) q[1],q[0]; h q[1]; cu1(pi/4) q[2],q[0]; cu1(pi/2) q[2],q[1]; h q[2]; cu1(pi/8) q[3],q[0]; cu1(pi/4) q[3],q[1]; cu1(pi/2) q[3],q[2]; h q[3]; measure q -> c;



Programming Language





Background



Standard Gate Based Compilation P

Pulse-Based Compilation [Shi et al. ASPLOS '19]

GRAPE (GRAdient-ascent Pulse Engineering)





GRAPE (GRAdient-ascent Pulse Engineering)



[Leung et al. 2017]

In sum: ASPLOS paper demonstrated how to get large pulse speedups, but takes a long time to compile.

- Originally quantum algorithms are fully specified at compile time
 - Shor Factoring, Grover Search, etc.
- But, hardware requirements are untenable for Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices
- Variational quantum algorithms match NISQ hardware

• Quantum program is not fully specified at compile time



- Program is executed multiple times, varying choices of unspecified parameters
- Classical co-processor optimizes choice of parameters, based on history of past executions





- New challenge for pulse-level compilation
- Need to compile thousands of programs
- And compilation latency delays time-to-solution

- Natural response to a partially specified program
- Two flavors:
 - Strict partial compilation. Pre-compile parts of the program.
 - Flexible partial compilation. Pre-*compute* good hyperparameters to speed up future compilation.

Strict Partial Compilation



Gokhale et al.

Strict Partial Compilation



Strict partial compilation *pre-compiles* Fixed blocks no runtime latency.

- GRAPE does best with deep (wide) slices
- Strict is limited by interspersed parametrization-dependent gates
- Instead, consider slices parametrized by exactly 1 parameter
- Can't pre-compile, but ...
- Can find good hyperparameters





Gokhale et al.



Gokhale et al.



Gokhale et al.



Gokhale et al.



Gokhale et al.

Results: VQE



Gokhale et al

Results: QAOA



Gokhale et a

Results: Side-by-Side



Gokhale et al.

Results: Side-by-Side





QAOA

Results: Compilation Latency Reduction

Strict has 0 compilation time during runtime. For flexible:



Conclusions / Future Work

- 2x pulse speedups with minimal compilation latency
 - Strict has no latency
 - Flexible partial compilation has latency, but 10-80x lower than standard GRAPE.
- We propose experimental realizations, e.g. via OpenPulse
- Also a need for better (faster and smarter) GRAPE implementations



Thanks!

Partial Compilation of Variational Algorithms for Noisy **Intermediate-Scale Quantum Machines**

Pranav Gokhale*	Yongshan Ding	Thomas Propson
University of Chicago	University of Chicago	University of Chicago
Christopher Winkler	Nelson Leung	Yunong Shi
University of Chicago	University of Chicago	University of Chicago
David I. Schuster	Henry Hoffmann	Frederic T. Chong
University of Chicago	University of Chicago	University of Chicago

2019 ABSTRACT

-

>

6

52

arXiv:1909.07

eb Quantum computing is on the cusp of reality with Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) machines currently under development and S testing. Some of the most promising algorithms for these machines are variational algorithms that employ classical optimization cou-9 pled with quantum hardware to evaluate the quality of each candidate solution. Recent work used GRadient Descent Pulse Engineeruant-ph] ing (GRAPE) to translate quantum programs into highly optimized machine control pulses, resulting in a significant reduction in the execution time of programs. This is critical, as quantum machines can barely support the execution of short programs before failing. However, GRAPE suffers from high compilation latency, which is untenable in variational algorithms since compilation is interleaved Б with computation. We propose two strategies for partial compilation, exploiting the structure of variational circuits to pre-compile optimal pulses for specific blocks of gates. Our results indicate sig-

nificant pulse speedups ranging from 1.5x-3x in typical benchmarks, with only a small fraction of the compilation latency of GRAPE.

CCS CONCEPTS

- Computer systems organization \rightarrow Quantum computing.

KEYWORDS

quantum computing, optimal control, variational algorithms

ACM Reference Format:

Pranav Gokhale, Yongshan Ding, Thomas Propson, Christopher Winkler, Nelson Leung, Yunong Shi, David I. Schuster, Henry Hoffmann, and Frederic T. Chong. 2019. Partial Compilation of Variational Algorithms for Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum Machines. In The 52nd Annual IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Microarchitecture (MICRO-52), October 12-16,

2019, Columbus, OH, USA. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 13 pages. https:// //doi.org/10.1145/3352460.3358313

*Corresponding author: pranavgokhale@uchicago.edu

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org. MICRO-52, October 12-16, 2019, Columbus, OH, USA © 2019 Association for Computing Machinery ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-6938-1/19/10...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/3352460.3358311

arXiv:1909.07522

1 INTRODUCTION

In the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era, we expect to operate hardware with hundreds or thousands of quantum bits (qubits), acted on by imperfect gates [42]. Moreover, connectivity in these NISQ machines will be sparse and qubits will have modest lifetimes. Given these limitations, NISQ era machines will not be able to execute large-scale quantum algorithms like Shor Factoring [45] and Grover Search [20], which rely on error correction that requires millions of qubits [38, 48].

However, recently, variational algorithms have been introduced that are well matched to NISO machines. This new class of algorithms has a wide range of applications such as molecular ground state estimation [41], MAXCUT approximation [14], and prime factorization [2]. The two defining features of a variational algorithm are that:

- (1) the algorithm complies with the constraints of NISQ hardware. Thus, the circuit for a variational algorithm should have modest requirements in gubit count (circuit width) and runtime (circuit depth / critical path). (2) the quantum circuit for the algorithm is parametrized by a
- list of angles. These parameters are optimized by a classical optimizer over the course of many iterations. For this reason, variational algorithms are also termed as hybrid quantumclassical algorithms [42]. Typically, a classical optimizer that is robust to small amounts of noise (e.g. Nelder-Mead) is chosen [32, 41].

Standard non-variational quantum algorithms are fully specified at compile time and therefore can be fully optimized by static compilation tools as in previous work [23, 26]. By contrast, each iteration of a variational algorithm depends on the results of the previous iteration-hence, compilation must be interleaved through the computation. As even small instances of variational algorithms will require thousands of iterations [24], the compilation latency for each iteration therefore becomes a serious limitation. This feature of variational algorithms is a significant departure from previous non-variational quantum algorithms

To cope with this limitation on compilation latency, past work on variational algorithms has performed compilation under the standard gate-based model. This methodology has the advantage of extremely fast compilation-a lookup table maps each gate to a sequence of machine-level control pulses so that compilation simply amounts to concatenating the pulses corresponding to each

Partial compilation enables 2x pulse **speedups** for quantum circuits, with reduced compilation latency.

Partial Compilation of Variational Algorithms for Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum Machines

Pranav Gokhale, Yongshan Ding, Thomas Propson, Christopher Winkler, Nelson Leung, Yunong Shi, David I. Schuster, Henry Hoffmann, Frederic T. Chong

INTRO and METHODS

· Variational quantum circuits are costly in run-time (gates)





Our partial compilation





Partial Compilation

DISCUSSION · Success probability decays speedups are critical.



exponential in pulse runtime-· Results persist for realistic pulses. Experimental efforts ongoing.









Partial Compil. Techniques Circuit blocking

Parameter monotonicity

Hyperparameter tuning

